# 

## Item Statistics:

	Questions1	Questions2	Questions3	Questions4	Questions5	Questions6	Questions7
Sample Size	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Number Missing	0	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	1.04	1.04	1.24	1.36	1.28	1.48	1.36
Variance	0.04	0.04	0.19	0.32	0.21	0.43	0.32
Standard Deviation	0.20	0.20	0.44	0.57	0.46	0.65	0.57
Standard Error	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.11
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	100	2.00	2.00
Sum	26.00	26.00	31.00	34.00	32.00	37.00	34.00
Sum of Squares	28.00	28.00	43.00	54.00	46.00	65.00	24.00
Skewness	2.00	2.00	1.30	1.34	1.04	1.05	1.34
Kurtosis	25.00	25.00	-0.35	1.04	-1.00	0.13	1.04
T Value	26.00	26.00	14.22	11.96	13.97	11.33	11.96
Mean Abs. Dev.	0.08	0.08	0.36	0.49	0.40	0.58	0.49
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (75)	1.00	1.00	1.50	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Inter Qrt. Range	00.00	0.00	0.50	1.00	0.1	1.00	1.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.93	0.93	1.00	1.04	1.02	1.1	1.04
Con. Interval (5%)	96.0	96.0	1.06	1.13	1.09	1.21	1.13
Con. Interval (95%)	1.12	1.12	1.42	1.59	1.47	1.75	1.59
Con. Interval (99%)	1.15	1.15	1.48	1.68	1.54	1.85	1.68

# VILET - MOISSE-01 - SPRNG 2011

	Questions8	Questions9	Questions10	Questions11	Questions12	Questions13	Questions14
Sample Size	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Number Missing	0	0	0	<b>0</b>	0.2	0	0
Mean	1.44	1.16	1.44	1.32	1.24	1.48	1.52
Variance	0.45	0.14	0.34	0.31	0.19	0.51	0.34
Standard Deviation	0.65	0.37	0.58	0.56	0.44	0.71	0.59
Standard Error	0.13	0.07	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.14	0.12
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Sum	36.00	29.00	36.00	33.00	31.00	37.00	38.00
Sum of Squares	62.00	37.00	00.09	51.00	43.00	67.00	00.99
Skewness	1.23	1.98	0.94	1.58	1.30	1.19	0.59
Kurtosis	0.51	2.06	00.00	1.84	-0.35	0.15	-0.54
T Value	11.07	15.50	12.35	11.85	14.22	10.36	12.97
Mean Abs. Dev.	0.56	0.27	0.53	0.46	0.36	0.61	0.54
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1,00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (75)	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.00
Inter Ort. Range	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00
Con. Interval (1%)	1.08	0.95	1.7	1.01	1.00	1.08	1.19
Con. Interval (5%)	1.17	1.01	1.20	1.09	1.06	1.19	1.28
Con. Interval (95%)	1.71	1.31	1.68	1.55	1.42	1.77	1.76
Con. Interval (99%)	1.80	1.37	1.77	1.63	1.48	1.88	1.85

# 


	Adesirons 12 Adesi			Control of the Contro
re Missing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		25	25 25	25
ce         1.68         1.20         1.36           ard Deviation         0.56         0.25         0.32           ard Error         0.11         0.10         0.11           um         3.00         3.00         3.00           um         3.00         3.00         3.00           um         2.00         1.00         1.00           um         2.00         1.00         1.00           um         2.00         3.00         3.00           um         2.00         1.00         1.00           n         2.00         2.00         2.00           sis         15.09         12.00         11.04           sis         15.09         12.00         11.00           ntile (25)         1.00         1.00         1.00           ntile (50)         2.00         1.00         1.00           ntile (75)         2.00         1.00         1.00           nterval (7%)         1.37         0.99 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td>				0
ce         0.31         0.25         0.32           and Deviation         0.56         0.50         0.57           and Error         0.11         0.10         0.11           um         1.00         1.00         1.00           um         2.00         3.00         3.00           n         2.00         1.00         1.00           n         2.00         2.00         2.00           f Squares         78.00         2.00         2.00           f Squares         78.00         2.00         2.00           is         -0.01         2.01         2.00           Abs. Dev.         0.49         0.34         0.49           ntile (25)         1.00         1.00         1.00           ntile (50)         2.00         1.00         1.00           Art. Range         1.00         1.00         1.00           nterval (75)         1.37         0.92         1.04           nterval (5%)         1.31         1.41         1.59           1.41         1.41         1.59	1.20			1.40
rd Deviation 0.56 0.50 0.57  rd Error 0.11 0.10 0.11  m 1.00 1.00 1.00  1.00 3.00 3.00  2.00 1.00 1.00  2.00 2.00 2.00  42.00 30.00 34.00  2.00 42.00 34.00  2.00 1.04  b 15.09 12.00 1.06  tile (25) 1.00 1.00  rt. Range 1.00 0.00 1.00  terval (1%) 1.37 0.99  terval (5%) 1.01 1.41 1.59				0.50
rd Error 0.11 0.10 0.11  m 3.00 3.00 3.00  2.00 1.00 1.00  2.00 2.00 2.00  42.00 34.00  5quares 78.00 42.00 34.00  5ss -0.01 2.61 1.34  b 15.09 12.00 11.06  tile (25) 1.00 1.00 1.00  tile (75) 2.00 1.00 2.00  trine (75) 2.00 1.00 2.00  trine (75) 2.00 1.00 2.00  terval (1%) 1.37 0.92 1.13  terval (5%) 1.91 1.41 1.59	0.50			0.71
m 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00			0.10 0.12	0.14
Imm       3.00       3.00       3.00         2.00       1.00       1.00       1.00         2.00       2.00       2.00       2.00         Squares       78.00       42.00       34.00         sss       -0.01       2.61       1.34         ss       -0.07       2.61       1.34         s       -0.56       6.66       1.04         shs. Dev.       0.49       0.34       0.49         uile (25)       1.00       1.00       1.00         tile (50)       2.00       1.00       1.00         tile (75)       2.00       1.00       1.00         terval (1%)       1.37       0.92       1.04         terval (5%)       1.45       0.99       1.13         terval (95%)       1.91       1.41       1.59	1.00			1.00
2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 34.00 3	3.00			3.00
Squares 2.00 2.00 2.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 34.00 5	1.00			1.00
Squares	2.00			2.00
of Squares 78.00 42.00 54.00  ness -0.01 2.61 1.34  sis -0.56 6.66 1.04  ue 15.09 12.00 11.96  n Abs. Dev. 0.49 0.34 0.49  entile (25) 1.00 1.00  ontile (50) 2.00 1.00 1.00  Ort. Range 1.00 0.00 1.00  Interval (5%) 1.45 0.99  Interval (5%) 1.91 1.41 1.59	30.00			35.00
-0.01 2.61 1.34 -0.56 6.66 1.04 15.09 12.00 11.96 0.49 0.34 0.49 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 1.04 () 1.37 0.92 1.04 () 1.45 0.99 1.13 1.59	42.00		00.09 00.	61.00
-0.56 6.66 1.04 15.09 12.00 11.96 0.49 0.34 0.49 1.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 3, 1.37 0.92 1.04 1.45 0.99 1.13		1.62	.26 0.94	1.54
15.09 12.00 11.96 0.49 0.34 0.49 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 3, 1.37 0.92 1.04 1.45 0.99 1.13 5%)	99.9			1.00
0.49 0.34 0.49 1.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 %) 1.37 0.92 1.04 %) 1.45 0.99 1.13	12.00		.21 12.35	06.6
1.00     1.00     1.00       2.00     1.00     1.00       2.00     1.00     2.00       1.00     0.00     1.00       1.37     0.92     1.04       1.45     0.99     1.13       1.91     1.41     1.59	0.34			0.58
2.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 1.37 0.92 1.04 1.45 0.99 1.13	1.00			1.00
2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 1.37 0.92 1.04 1.45 0.99 1.13 6) 1.91 1.41 1.59	00°L			1.00
1.00 0.00 1.00 1.37 0.92 1.04 1.45 0.99 1.13 6) 1.91 1.41 1.59	1.00			2.00
) 1.37 0.92 1.04 ) 1.45 0.99 1.13 6) 1.91 1.41 1.59	00.0		1.00 1.00	1.00
) 1.45 0.99 1.13 6) 1.91 1.41 1.59	0.92			1.00
) 1.91 1.41 1.59	66.0			1.1
		•		1.69
Con. Interval (99%) 1.99 1.48 1.68	1.48		1.72	1.80

# WILET BOSSAGE SPRING 201

		CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T	The second secon	THE PARTY OF THE P	Marie and Control of the Control of	THE RESERVOIR STREET WAS A STREET WHEN THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAM		SERVICE CONTRACTOR CON	-
Sample Size		25	25		24		17		25
Number Missing		0	0		0		0		0
Mean		1.40	1.56		3.17		1.65	<u></u>	1.64
Variance		0.25	0.92		0.67		1.12	0.	0.32
Standard Deviation		0.50	96.0		0.82		1.06	0.	22
Standard Error		0.10	0.19		0.17		0.26	0	=
Minimum	**************************************	1.00	1.00		2.00		1.00	<del>-</del>	00
Maximum	(2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	2.00	2.00		4.00		2.00	3.	3.00
Median		1.00	1.00		3.00		1.00	2	0
Range		1.00	4.00		2.00		4.00	2	2.00
Sum		35.00	39.00		76.00		28.00	41.	41.00
Sum of Squares		55.00	83.00		256.00		64.00	75.	75.00
Skewness		0.43	2.27		-0.33		2.26	0.	0.13
Kurtosis	24 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	-1.98	6.03		-1.41		5.94	.O-	-0.68
T Value		14.00	8.12		19.00		6.42	14.	14.42
Mean Abs. Dev.		0.48	0.72		69.0		0.76	o	0.51
Percentile (25)		1.00	1.00		2.50		1.00	<del>-</del>	1.00
Percentile (50)	e de la companya de l	1.00	1.00		3.00		1.00	2.	2.00
Percentile (75)		2.00	2.00		4.00		2.00	2.	2.00
Inter Qrt. Range		1.00	1.00		1.50		1.00	←	1.00
Con. Interval (1%)		1.12	1.02		2.70		0.90	₹	1.32
Con. Interval (5%)	4.	1.19	1.16		2.82		1.10		1.41
Con. Interval (95%)		1.61	1.96		3.51		2.19	←	.87
Con. Interval (99%)		1.68	2.10		3.63		2.40	₹-	96.


## Item Analysis: The instructor was knowledgeable about the subject of this course.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	24	96.00
Agree	2	1	4.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0.	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00



### Item Analysis: The instructor was always well prepared and organized for class.

Label	Value	Frequency Percent
Strongly Agree	1	24 96.00
Agree	2	1 4.00
Neutral	3	0 0.00
Disagree	4	0 0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0 0.00
Total Valid		25 100.00




## Item Analysis: The instructor gave clear and reasonable course objectives and requirements.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	19	76.00
Agree	2	6	24.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00


## Item Analysis: The instructor required a manageable amount of course work.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	17	68.00
Agree	2	7	28.00
Neutral	3	1	4.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




## Item Analysis: The instructor successfully clarified and explained difficult materials and topics.

Label	Value	Frequency Percent
Strongly Agree	1	18 72.00
Agree	2	7 28.00
Neutral	3	0 0.00
Disagree	4	0 0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0 0.00
Total Valid	1994	25 100.00




## Item Analysis: The insructor seemed to know students did not understand something.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	15	60.00
Agree	2	8	32.00
Neutral	3	2	8.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




#### Item Analysis: The insructor gave me helpful feedback on my work.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	17	68.00
Agree	2	7	28.00
Neutral	3	1	4.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




## Item Analysis: The instructor was available outside of class to speak with me.

Label:	Value	Frequency Percent
Strongly Agree	1	16 64.00
Agree	2	7 28.00
Neutral	3	2 8.00
Disagree	4	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0 0.00
Total Valid		25 100.00




## Item Analysis: The instructor created an atmosphere of mutual respect conducive to learning.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	21	84.00
Agree	2	4	16.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid	,	25	100.00




## Item Analysis: The instructor helped me integrate what I learned to my experiences outside class.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	15	60.00
Agree	2	<u> </u>	36.00
Neutral	3	1	4.00
Disagree	4	# MACO	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




#### Item Analysis: The instructor returned written work promptly.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	18	72.00
Agree	2	6	24.00
Neutral	3	1	4.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




#### Item Analysis: Tests and assignments reflected what was taught.

Label	Value:	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	19	76.00
Agree	2	6	24.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




#### Item Analysis: Tests and assignments were graded fairly.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	16	64.00
Agree	2	6	24.00
Neutral	3	3	12.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid	****	25	100.00




## Item Analysis: I, the student became enthusiastic as a result of my instructors presentation.

Label :	Value	Frequency Percent
Strongly Agree	1	13 52.00
Agree	2	11 44.00
Neutral	3	1 4.00
Disagree	4	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0 0.00
Total Valid		25 100.00




### Item Analysis: I, the student was motivated to work hard by what we did in class.

Label	Value	Frequency Percent
Strongly Agree	1	9 36.00
Agree	2	15 60.00
Neutral	3	1 4.00
Disagree	4	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0 0.00
Total Valid		25 100.00




## Item Analysis: I, the student did not have trouble understanding when my instructor spoke.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	21	84.00
Agree	2	3	12.00
Neutral	3	1	4.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




### Item Analysis: I, the student was encouraged to think critically about what we were studying.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	17	68.00
Agree	2	7	28.00
Neutral	3	1	4.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




#### Item Analysis: I, the student felt comfortable asking questions in class.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	18	72.00
Agree	2	5	20.00
Neutral	3	2	8.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




#### Item Analysis: I, the student was challenged intellectually by this class.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	14	56.00
Agree	2	11	44.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




## Item Analysis: I, the student felt that my instructor really cared about student progress.

Label Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	15	60.00
Agree	2	9	36.00
Neutral	3	1	4.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




#### Item Analysis: I, the student felt free to express myself in class.

- Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	18	72.00
Agree	2	4	16.00
Neutral	3	3	12.00
Disagree	4	44 H	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




## Item Analysis: I, the student had my interest in the subject matter stimulated by this class.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	15	60.00
Agree	2	10	40.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00




### Item Analysis: I, the student would recommend this course to other students.

√ Label⊹	Value:	Frequency Percent
Strongly Agree	1	16 64.00
Agree	2	6 24.00
Neutral	3	2 8.00
Disagree	4	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	1 4.00
Total Valid		25 100.00




#### Item Analysis: I am a (Freshman, Sophomore, Junior, Senior, Graduate)

. Label	Value	Frequency Percent
Freshman	1	0 0.00
Sophomore	2	6 24.00
Junior	3	8 32.00
Senior	4	10 40.00
Graduate	5	0 0.00
Total Valid		24 100.00




#### Item Analysis: I missed class(es) during the semester.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
1	1	10	40.00
2	2	5	20.00
3	3	1	4.00
4	4	14 77 gara <b>0</b>	0.00
5+	5	1	4.00
Total Valid		17	100.00



#### Item Analysis: I expect my grade to be.

	Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
	A	1	10	40.00
857	В	2	14	56.00
(	C	3	1	4.00
- 4, 2, 4	<b>D</b>	4	0	0.00
	F	5	0	0.00
	Total Valid	1 2 2	25	100.00



(	Loved the class over all, I just wished the professor would've given extra-credit assignments.	
	assignments.	

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Best instructor at Montclair State University. Taught beyond expectations and I learned more from this class than any other this Semester. My only issues was that the course work was sometimes more than I could handle between a book and outside source.

She	e is awesome!!! Highly recommend to	to others!	

Suggestions for improver			
She is great! love poetry	thanks to her	I can say now	, that I


Very C	lear on one she teaches.	
Makes	enerathing a place	

I absolutely love Somers-Willett She has been so holdful and made me have a sparking intrest in potenty. She is so helpful and all an never affaild of saying how I feel.
both. She is so helpful and a an never
afraid of Saying how I feet.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.
instructor was/is extremely knowledgeable when it comes to court content.
usage of outside readings benefitted class discussion

for improvement are also welcome

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.
hedrey discussion, and dobate were all used, mostly discussion which I thought was the best method of instruction because forty should be expressed differently by everyone and should be expressed by discussion by the entire Class.



Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

She's Pletty great, but I would have liked to see a larger sample of Contemporary Poetry

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Dr. somers-willett has done an great job presenting the material in an interesting and engaging manner. I did not know a lot about poetry before this class, but I now feel informed and inspired. I felt confortable participating in class

Although T 1:1	to the presentations of the second
half of the cla	the presentations of in the second solit is to too much time. I can the peers and discuss poetry on a paying for your presence!
get together wi	the peers and discuss poetry on
my own, In	n paying for your presence.
·	
·.	. 9
,	
**	
•3	V.
u.	
	ı'